

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
2002 AUG 23 A 10:50

SAGENT TECHNOLOGY, INC.	*	PLAINTIFF
Plaintiff(s)		AT THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
	*	BY DEPUTY
vs.	*	Civil Action No. JFM-02-2505
MICROS SYSTEMS, INC.	*	
Defendant(s)	*	

SCHEDULING ORDER

This scheduling order is being entered pursuant to Local Rule 103.9. **The schedule will not be changed except for good cause.**

I. DEADLINES

Joint request for early settlement/ADR conference (This request will not postpone discovery unless otherwise ordered).	September 5, 2002
Report <u>re</u> deposition hours	September 5, 2002
Initial report whether there is unanimous consent to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge	September 5, 2002
Moving for joinder of additional parties and amendment of pleadings	October 7, 2002
Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures <u>re</u> experts	October 21, 2002
Defendant's Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures <u>re</u> experts	November 20, 2002
Plaintiff's rebuttal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures <u>re</u> experts	December 4, 2002

(21)
JW

Rule 26(e)(2) supplementation of disclosures and responses	December 11, 2002
<u>Discovery deadline; submission of status report</u>	January 6, 2003
Requests for admission	January 13, 2003
<u>Dispositive pretrial motions deadline</u>	February 3, 2003

II. DISCOVERY

Initial Disclosures

This is an action in which Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) disclosures need not be made.

Discovery Conference

This action is exempted from the requirements of the first sentence of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d) and from Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). However, you are encouraged to confer with one another immediately in order to (a) identify the issues, (b) set a discovery plan, (c) determine if the case can be resolved before your clients incur further litigation expense and (d) establish a cordial professional relationship among yourselves.

Procedure

All the provisions of Local Rule 104 apply, including the following:

- a. All written discovery requests must be served in time to assure that they are answered before the discovery deadline. An extension of the deadline will not be granted because of unanswered discovery requests.
- b. The existence of a discovery dispute as to one matter does not justify delay in taking any other discovery. The filing of a motion to compel or a motion for a protective order will not result in a general extension of the discovery deadline.
- c. No discovery materials, including Rule 26(a)(1) and Rule 26(a)(2) disclosures, should be filed with the court. If they are, they will not be returned by the Clerk but will be retained for seven days. Thereafter, unless retrieved by the filing party, they will be destroyed without further notice.
- d. Motions to compel shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 104.8.

e. Please be familiar with the Discovery Guidelines of this Court which are Appendix A to the Local Rules. Appendix D contains guidelines for form discovery requests and confidentiality orders that may be helpful to you.

Deposition Hours

Please confer with one another and report to me within 14 days of the date of this order concerning the number of hours of depositions which you believe is appropriate. If I have not heard from you by that date, each side shall be limited to 8 hours of depositions of fact witnesses (including parties). (If you agree to another number of deposition hours and notify me of your agreement, you may consider your agreement approved unless you hear from me to the contrary within 10 days.) If there are two or more parties on a particular side, they must share the deposition time allotted to their side unless upon your request I otherwise rule. Any colloquy engaged in by counsel shall be counted against his/her client's deposition time.

III. STATUS REPORT

The parties shall file in chambers only (not with the Clerk) on the day of the discovery deadline a status report covering the following matters:

- a. Whether discovery has been completed;
- b. Whether any motions are pending;
- c. Whether any party intends to file a dispositive pretrial motion;
- d. Whether the case is to be tried jury or non-jury and the anticipated length of trial;
- e. A certification that the parties have met to conduct serious settlement negotiations; and the date, time and place of the meeting and the names of all persons participating therein;
- f. Whether each party believes it would be helpful to refer this case to another judge of this court for a settlement or other ADR conference, either before or after the resolution of any dispositive pretrial motion;
- g. Whether all parties consent, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), to have a U.S. Magistrate Judge conduct any further proceedings in this case, either before or after the resolution of any dispositive pretrial motion, including trial (jury or non-jury) and entry of final judgment.
- h. Any other matter which you believe should be brought to the court's attention.

IV. DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL MOTIONS

If more than one party intends to file a summary judgment motion, the provisions of Local Rule 105.2.c apply.

After motions and responses thereto have been filed, I will advise you if a hearing is to be scheduled.

V. STATUS AND PRETRIAL CONFERENCES

I will set a scheduling conference after the status report has been filed unless that report indicates that one or more of you intends to file a dispositive pretrial motion. In the latter event I will not set a scheduling conference until after I have ruled upon the motion (or the dispositive pretrial motion deadline passes without the anticipated motion being filed).

At the scheduling conference:

- a. I will set a deadline for submitting the pretrial order, motions in limine, proposed voir dire questions and proposed jury instructions;
- b. I will set a pretrial conference date and a trial date; and
- c. I will ask you whether a settlement or other ADR conference with a judicial officer would be useful, and whether all parties would consent to trial (jury or non-jury) before a U.S. Magistrate Judge. **Please confer with your client about these matters before the conference so that you are in a position to respond.**

VI. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

Any inquiries concerning the schedule should be directed to my chambers, not to the Clerk's Office.

Courtesy copies of any motions or other papers filed with the Clerk should not be provided to chambers without my permission. The original and one copy of all motions and memoranda should be filed with the Clerk, except that two copies of any discovery motions and memoranda should be filed with the Clerk. See Local Rule 105.1.

VII. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In any case where attorneys' fees may be sought by the prevailing party, counsel must be familiar with the provisions of Local Rule 109.2 and the Rules and Guidelines for Determining Lodestar Attorneys' Fees in Civil Rights and Discrimination Cases which are Appendix B to the Local Rules.


VIII. COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULES

The court will demand compliance with the Local Rules. If you need to obtain a copy of the Local Rules, they are available on our website at www.mdd.uscourts.gov, or for purchase from the following companies:

The Daily Record Gremlin Press Lexis Publishing
(410) 752-3849 (410) 255-8811 1-804-972-7600

Rules Service Co. West Group
(301) 424-9402 1-800-328-9352

Date: August 23, 2002


J. Frederick Motz
United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MARYLAND FILED

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF
J. FREDERICK MOTZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2002 AUG 23 A 10:50

101 W. LOMBARD STREET
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201
(410) 962-0782
FAX (410) 962-2698

CLERKS OF
AT BALTIMORE

August 23, 2002 DEPUTY

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed please find a scheduling order I am entering today. Also enclosed is a letter concerning a "call-in-hour" program for the informal resolution of discovery disagreements Judge Blake and I have implemented.

Please confer with one another about the scheduling order immediately. If you believe that any changes should be made to it, or that you should meet with me to discuss the structure of discovery or other issues that might expedite the litigation of the case, please so advise me in writing within fourteen days. In particular, if there is any expert and/or damages discovery that you agree might be deferred until after the resolution of dispositive motions, please let me know. Discovery should commence in the interim.

If during the course of litigation the occasion arises for you to request an informal extension of time consented to by the other side, I ask you to consider emailing that request to me, showing an email copy being sent to counsel for all other parties. I have a special email account for this purpose: mdd_jfmchambers@mdd.uscourts.gov. Someone in my chambers will check this address every day, and I will communicate my ruling to you by simply writing "granted" or "denied" on a reply message. I am experimenting with this practice to determine its efficacy.

Please do not maintain this email address in your address book since I want to minimize the chance that our system is inadvertently victimized by a virus that is automatically spread to everyone listed in an address book.

Very truly yours,



J. Frederick Motz
United States District Judge

Enclosure

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF
J. FREDERICK MOTZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2002 AUG 23 A 10:50

101 W. LOMBARD STREET
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201
(410) 962-0782
FAX (410) 962-2698

August 23, 2002

AT BALTIMORE

DEPUTY

Dear Counsel:

Many members of our bar have expressed concern about the obstacles our Local Rules and practices present to timely, efficient, and inexpensive resolution of discovery disputes. In order to address these concerns, Judge Blake and I have decided to implement a "call-in hour" program for the resolution of discovery disagreements.

I will make myself available by telephone from 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. on most Wednesdays and Thursdays to resolve emergency discovery disputes and other discovery issues that do not require extensive briefing. The following procedures will apply:

1. Before requesting a hearing, counsel must give opposing counsel at least twenty-four hours notice of their intent to do so.
2. Counsel requesting the hearing must let me know by 4:00 p.m. on Tuesday (as to hearings requested for Wednesday) and by 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday (as to hearings requested for Thursday) that a hearing is being requested. My office will give to counsel who call in a precise time for the hearing, e.g., 5:00, 5:15, 5:30, or 5:45. It will be the responsibility of counsel requesting to the hearing to let other counsel know of the precise time.
3. Counsel involved in the discovery dispute shall deliver or fax to chambers short letters (not to exceed two pages) setting forth their respective positions. These letters should be delivered to chambers or faxed by 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday (as to hearings requested for Wednesday) and by 6:00 p.m. on Wednesday (as to hearings requested for Thursday).
4. It is the responsibility of counsel who request the hearing to arrange for a conference call at the prescribed time.
5. I will not have a record made of the hearing. If any of you want a record to be made, it will be your responsibility to have a court reporter present in your office or to arrange for the hearing to be tape recorded. Of course, in either event you must advise me and opposing counsel at the commencement of the hearing that a record is being made.

I will do my best to resolve as many disputes as I can in this informal manner. If, however, I determine that the issues are too complicated for me to do so, after hearing from you I will direct that the procedures for formal briefing be followed.

Page Two

In order for this "call-in hour" program to be successful, counsel must exercise restraint. I simply do not have time to resolve all of the many disputes that may arise during the course of discovery. That said, the court does have a responsibility to oversee discovery, and we recognize that the existing procedures for bringing discovery issues to the court's attention are cumbersome. We anticipate the program might be particularly beneficial in circumstances where (1) one party has failed to respond to discovery requests at all or has otherwise "stonewalled" during the course of discovery, or (2) a good faith disagreement has arisen between experienced and able counsel that simply requires the exercise of judgment by the presiding judge.

Incidentally, the "call-in hour" program does not displace my existing practice of making myself available whenever I can to resolve disputes as they arise during the course of a deposition. I will continue to follow that practice as well.

Very truly yours,



J. Frederick Motz
United States District Judge